

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,589	08/03/2001	Michael Wen-Chein Yang	POLY-1194 1853		
7:	590 11/07/2002				
Woodcock Washburn Kurtz			EXAMINER		
MacKiewicz & 46th Floor	Norris LLP		HAMILTON	HAMILTON, CYNTHIA	
One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752	13	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/921,589	YANG ET AL.	
navisory neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Hamilton	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this ap	plication. A proper reply which places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the right of the righ	nailing date of the final rejection F THE FINAL REJECTION. \$ F CFR 1.136(a) and the approgramment of the fee. The approgramment of the fee. The final Corrections are the final Corrections.	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by r	naterially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	i.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been c <u>Continuation Sheet</u> .	onsidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-14, 17-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) dis	sapproved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
Attachment - PTO 1449			
Potent and Trademark Office			

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration has not been considered. Thus, all points of reconsideration based upon this declaration are moot. All arguments using the Declaration as support are thus unsupported because the Declaration was not timely submitted. See particularly MPEP 716.01.

Continuation of 10. Other: See attached PTO 1449 and initialed GH reference as requested...

CYNTHIA HAMILTON
PRIMARY FXAMINER